UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO:

02-21594

CREATIVE CHOICE HOMES, II, LTD.,

Plaintiff,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

v.

BANKERS INSURANCE COMPANY, and BROWN & BROWN, INC.

Defendants.

O'SULLIVAN 29 PM 3: 55

OZ MAY 29 PM 3: 55

O'SULLIVAN CLERK U.S. DISTUCTION OF FLA. WPE

COMPLAINT

The Plaintiff, CREATIVE CHOICE HOMES II, LTD., sues the Defendants, BANKERS INSURANCE COMPANY, and BROWN & BROWN, INC., and alleges:

<u>COUNT I</u> (BANKERS INSURANCE COMPANY)

- 1. This is an action for damages that exceed the sum of \$75,000, and this court has jurisdiction pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §4072.
- 2. The plaintiff is a Florida Limited Partnership with its principal place of business in Palm Beach County, Florida.
- 3. At all times material, the plaintiff has owned real property and buildings located thereon at Opa-Locka Florida, commonly known as the Gardens Apartments ("the property") as follows:



Creative Choice v. Bankers and Brown & Brown Complaint Page 2

<u>ADDRESS</u>	POLICY NUMBER
13132-13140 Port Said Road	09 0007663669
13122-13130 Port Said Road	09 0007663670
13142 Port Said Road	09 0007663671
13436-13450 Aswan Road	09 0007663672
13002-13110 Alexandria Drive	09 0007663673
13112-13120 Alexandria Drive	09 0007663674
13144 Port Said Road	09 0007663675
13412-13424 Aswan Road	09 0007663676

- 4. At all times material, Defendant, BANKERS INSURANCE COMPANY ("Bankers") was a foreign insurance company authorized to do and doing business in the State of Florida and issued the policies of insurance alleged below to the plaintiff.
- 5. Commencing on or about January 5, 2000, and through January 5, 2001, Defendant BANKERS issued to plaintiff its insurance policies, providing water, hurricane, and flood insurance for the property, as evidence by the sample Declaration Sheets attached. Copies of the full policies are not attached because of their bulk, but defendant Bankers has copies thereof.
- 6. At all times material, and continuously from January 5, 2000, through January 5, 2001, the Plaintiff made all required premium payments for the insurance policies issued by BANKERS, referenced above.

Creative Choice v. Bankers and Brown & Brown Complaint Page 3

- 7. On or about October 4, 2000, the property and the buildings thereon suffered water and flood damage.
- 8. Thereafter, plaintiff submitted claims under the BANKERS' insurance policies for the foregoing damages. However, Defendant, BANKERS, has breached its obligation under the foregoing polices by failing to pay the full amount of the damages claimed and due.
- 9. Plaintiff has complied with all conditions precedent under the subject insurance policies and under the regulations of National Flood Insurance Program, or those conditions have been waived by Defendant BANKERS, or the Unites States Government.
- 10. As a result of the improper adjustment and payment of the claims submitted by plaintiff, plaintiff has been damaged by not receiving insurance coverage/payments in the amount of \$250,000 per building, or a total of \$2,000,000 setting off the amount already paid of \$383,768.55, for total principal damages of \$1,616,231.50.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands judgment for damages and court costs against Defendant, BANKERS INSURANCE COMPANY.

COUNT II (BROWN & BROWN, INC.)

- 11. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates paragraphs 1,2,3, and 5.
- 12. At all times material, Defendant, BROWN & BROWN, INC., conducted business in Palm Beach County, Florida as an insurance agent and consultant by advising Plaintiff on its insurance needs in Palm Beach County, Florida, including the proper amount, type, and number of flood insurance policies for the Plaintiff's property.

Creative Choice v. Bankers and Brown & Brown Complaint
Page 4

- 13. At all times material, Defendant, BROWN & BROWN, INC., negligently advised or represented to Plaintiff or led Plaintiff to believe that plaintiff was appropriately insured for each of the buildings on its property.
- 14. At all times material, and continuously from January 5, 2000, through January 5, 2001, the Plaintiff made all required premium payments for the insurance policies issued by BANKERS, referenced above.
- 15. On or about October 4, 2000, the property and the buildings thereon suffered water and flood damage.
- 16. As a result of Defendant's, BROWN & BROWN, INC., negligence, BANKERS claims that the policies insured only one (1) wing of certain covered buildings, instead of the entire building at each location. Defendant, BROWN & BROWN, INC., knew or should have known by virtue of their claimed expertise as insurance agents, that under National Flood Insurance Program ("NFIP") regulations and claims manuals, the subject buildings on plaintiff's property could possibly be construed by BANKERS and its adjusters as consisting of more than one (1) building at each location rather than one (1) building at each location.
- 17. As a result of BROWN & BROWN's negligence, Plaintiff has been damaged by not receiving insurance coverage/payments in the amount of \$250,000 per building, for no less than \$500,000 setting off the amount already paid by BANKERS.

Creative Choice v. Bankers and Brown & Brown Complaint Page 5

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment for damages, interest, and costs against Defendant, BROWN & BROWN, INC.

CLYATT & RICHARDSON, P.A. 1551 Forum Place, Ste. 300-F West Palm Beach, FL 33401 Attorneys for Plaintiff (561) 471-9600

BY: Kevin F. Richardson (329185)

and

PETER M. COMMETTE, P.A. 1323 S.E. Third Avenue Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316 Attorney for Plaintiff (954) 764-0005

BY: Peter M. Commette (350133)

F'Uermifor'CREATIVE\Bankers\Complaint.wpd

MAG. JUDGE O'S U// USA

IS 44

DATE

\$ 150.00

APPLYING IFP.